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Save $91,000 Annually in Low Pressure Boiler Water Treatment Chemical and Fuel Costs Using a Dealkalizer

By Kevin Preising, Res-Kem Corporation
Summary
This article shows how dealkalization of boiler feedwater improves boiler steam/condensate system reliability, fuel savings, and chemical savings. The Return on Investment (ROI) is substantially less than one year when a dealkalizer is added to an existing boiler feedwater plant with a conventional sodium cycle water softener—deaerator pretreatment. Annual chemical savings are over $76,000 and “cycle-up” energy savings are over $15,000 when using a dealkalizer with chloride form anion ion exchange resin. Reductions in condensate piping replacements can add further cost savings and will make the ROI even more attractive. In applications where neutralizing amine concentrations are limited by FDA regulations, the dealkalizer can be used in conjunction with reduced feed of neutralizing amines, achieving an optimized solution of reduced chemical feed with improved return condensate pH numbers. The goal of this discussion is confined to the addition of a dealkalizer post softener.

The results of adding a dealkalization are:

- Minimized waterside scale formation
- Minimized boiler-carryover
- Minimized boiler blowdown through increased boiler cycles
- Increased return condensate pH values, thereby reducing the need for neutralizing amine chemical feed to control corrosion in the condensate

Operating Expenses for Low Pressure Boilers
The goal of any steam plant operation for low pressure boilers, those with operating pressures below 600 psig, is to reduce operating costs, without compromising the life expectancy of the equipment. Typically 60–70% of the operating expenses, fuel is the largest expense by far in a boiler operation. Other plant operating costs—make-up water to the boiler, pretreatment of the make-up water softening and dealkalization, boiler blowdown, water treatment chemicals, and application of these chemicals—collectively amount to only 3–5% of the total operation expense. When you take a closer look at these smaller steam plant operating costs, they control the largest expense—fuel.
The amount of fuel used to create steam or a fuel-to-steam efficiency is controlled by many operational factors, some of which are outside of the scope of this discussion but are worth mentioning because they are dynamic. It is important to have a general index of boiler efficiency to focus on what improvements can be made.

Operating Efficiency of Low Pressure Boilers

A general index of boiler efficiency often overlooked is directly related to stack gas temperature of the boiler. Another way of expressing the boiler percent efficiency is expressed as:

\[ E_{\text{Boiler}} = \frac{(\text{BTU}_{\text{Fuel}} - \text{BTU}_{\text{Stack}})}{\text{BTU}_{\text{Fuel}}} \]

Where:

- \( E_{\text{Boiler}} \) = Efficiency of the Boiler
- \( \text{BTU}_{\text{Fuel}} \) = Heat value of the fuel (BTU)
- \( \text{BTU}_{\text{Stack}} \) = Heat lost up the stack (BTU)

Typical low to medium pressure boilers range 75–85% efficiency and there is always room for improvement. There are many operational parameters that can affect this efficiency calculation and control the overall fuel cost of a plant:

- Minimizing excess air and unburned hydrocarbons
- Operating at or near design load, where boilers are most efficient, versus low load through boiler loading and load balancing
- Minimizing fireside and waterside deposits
- Maximizing condensate return
- Minimizing boiler blowdown
- Reducing stack gas temperatures by 40 °F increases efficiency by 1 percent
- Increasing feedwater temperature by 10 °F increases efficiency by 1 percent

In plants with blowdown heat recovery equipment, the efficiency gained by increasing feedwater temperature can easily be captured and improved by having the instrumentation in place to acquire and document the data. While many factors can affect overall boiler efficiency as listed above, scale deposition on the boiler tube surfaces can have a large impact. Waterside boiler tube surfaces are only viewed once or twice per year; therefore, costs can mount quickly if scale starts to develop. With properly functioning softeners, much of the deposits to waterside surfaces come from return condensate iron levels, resulting in deposits of iron carbonate on high heat flux areas in the boiler.

Figure 1
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In most low-pressure boiler operations, the return condensate is the largest contributor of both iron and copper into the boiler. The return condensate pH ideally should be between 8.0–8.5 to minimize corrosion by-products of iron and copper. While copper is of concern, the majority of plants utilize black iron condensate piping making iron more of a concern. If return condensate pH is between 5.8–6.5, the rate of corrosion of the piping is most likely higher than the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) standard of 5 mils/year.

General ASME Guidelines For Low Pressure Boilers

There are numerous interrelated guidelines and limits established by the ASME for low-pressure boilers, those with 0–300 psig drum pressure. They are as follows:

- Silica less than 150 mg/L
- Specific conductance below 5400 or conductivity below 1100 μS/cm
• Total alkalinity below 700 mg/L in the boiler water
• Guidelines for corrosion rate of 5 mils/year
• Guidelines for total iron in boiler feed water below 0.1 mg/L
• Guidelines for total copper in boiler feed water below 0.05 mg/L
• Maximum recommended cycles of concentration limit of 50
• Guidelines recommend that the total alkalinity should supersede conductance as the blowdown control parameter

Alkalinity values above 700 mg/L will result in boiler foaming and carryover of boiler water in the steam. If persistent carryover is experienced in low-pressure boilers this can deposit and set-up corrosion cells in pipe threads in condensate. Large load swings, whereby the boiler is operated at a load in excess of design, can cause large slugs of boiler carryover and cause thermal and mechanical shock to equipment, causing severe damage.

As expected, the corrosion rate will effect the life of the piping in the condensate system. Table 1 shows the expected life of a Schedule 40 pipe with varying corrosion rates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corrosion Rate (mpy)</th>
<th>Life of Pipe (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>62.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>41.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>31.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Measuring pH Accurately
When measuring pH in condensate, it is important to cool the sample with an in-line cooler to minimize the CO₂ flashing off from the sample. Without an in-line sample cooler, the CO₂ in the condensate sample will not form carbonic acid. This causes the pH to be measured with a false high pH. The difference between in-line cooled condensate samples and ambient cooled condensate temperatures can be 2.0 or more pH units. Keeping within ASME guidelines for total iron in boiler feed-water below 0.1 mg/L and total copper below 0.05 mg/L requires attention to the pH of return condensate, maintenance of steam traps, and knowledge of the metallurgy of the steam/condensing equipment in the steam distribution system.

Case History
The system in this article is describing a beverage distilling company. See Figure 2 above.

They currently have a boiler feed water system incorporating a make-up water softener and deaerator. One of the largest uses of steam is in a large steam dryer that demands high steam rates and produces high flows of condensate with high CO₂ levels. When measured using a sample cooler, the pH values are reported to be approximately 5.8. While specific corrosion rates are not known, the relationships below would indicate they would be elevated in this specific area due to high condensate flow and increased H⁺ ion contact with internal pipe surfaces.

Neutralizing amines such as morpholine, cyclohexylamine, and diethylamineoethanol, and mixtures thereof, are typically used to boost condensate pH by neutralizing the carbonic acid (H₂CO₃) formed in condensate. The amount of amine fed is directly proportional to the
amount of CO₂ that condenses in the steam condensate. Generally, the higher the alkalinity in the boiler feedwater the more CO₂ that is produced in the steam and later absorbed into the steam condensate forming carbonic acid. Carbonic acid being a weak acid suppresses the pH of the condensate. The ratio of CO₂ formation in steam condensate is approximately 1 mg/L of carbonate alkalinity to approximately 0.44 mg/L of CO₂. Other factors that determine how much CO₂ ends up in the condensate are temperature of the condensate and if properly sized atmospheric vents are installed on heat exchangers and if flash tanks. Because CO₂ is noncondensable, it prefers to be in the vapor phase and, therefore, is easily flashed off, reducing the amount of carbonic acid formed. Table 2 shows the following pertinent operating parameters:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flow rate</td>
<td>200 gpm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Alkalinity in raw water</td>
<td>88 mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Makeup CO₂ (calculated)</td>
<td>3807 mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amine cost</td>
<td>$3.00/lb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amine dosage</td>
<td>1.0 amine lb/CO₂ lb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steam generation</td>
<td>2,640,000 lbs/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boiler pressure</td>
<td>185 psig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boiler temperature</td>
<td>375 °F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Make-up water temperature</td>
<td>50 °F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel heat value</td>
<td>3800 BTU/lb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel cost</td>
<td>$2.02/MM BTU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boiler efficiency</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown below, we are adding a dealkalizer after the makeup water softener. See Figure 3.

### Chemical Savings

In our case, approximately 88 mg/L total alkalinity is in the raw water. Therefore, the expected amount of CO₂ in the water would be 38.7 mg/L. To counteract the carbonic acid, feed rates for neutralizing amines are generally 1 mg/L of amine per 1 mg/L of CO₂. Feed rates of neutralizing amines in large boiler operations of 110,000 lbs/hr can demand large amounts of amine feed to achieve ideal return condensate pH values of 8.0–8.5. The use of dealkalizer post softener can prevent up to 75–80% of the CO₂ formation in the condensate. The dealkalizer does this by removing the total alkalinity in the boiler make-up water before reaching the boiler. Table 3 shows the chemical savings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total CO₂ removal</th>
<th>93.1 lbs/day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chemical savings</td>
<td>$209.48/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical Savings</td>
<td>$76,461/year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Without amine feed and without dealkalization, condensate with high iron and copper typically travels back to the condensate holding tank and then to the boiler deaerator, and directly into the boiler. As stated above, increased iron and copper traveling back in the return condensate, from low pH condensate, can be minimized. Efficiencies are affected by a multitude of operational factors.

Cited earlier were ASME guidelines for boiler feedwater, because condensate return in this case was 20–30%, it
has direct impact on the overall boiler feedwater quality. Installation of a dealkalizer post softener will also affect the boiler water cycles of concentration that can be obtained in the boiler.

\[
\text{Cycles} = \text{Cycles}_{\text{BoilerWater}} / \text{Cycles}_{\text{BoilerFeed}}
\]

Where:

\[
\text{Cycles} = \text{Boiler Water Cycles of Concentration}
\]

\[
\text{C}_{\text{BoilerWater}} = \text{Conductivity of Boiler Water}
\]

\[
\text{C}_{\text{BoilerFeed}} = \text{Conductivity of the Boiler Feedwater}
\]

In this example, it was reported that boiler water carry over has been occurring. While there are operating, mechanical, and chemical causes for boiler carryover, eliminating the alkalinity from the boiler feedwater will allow the boiler to operate at higher cycles of concentration. As explained above, caution must be exercised when determining the total alkalinity very close to or exceeding the ASME limit of 700 mg/L in the boiler water. This will result in boiler foaming and carryover of boiler water in the steam. If persistent carryover is experienced in low-pressure boilers, this can deposit and set-up corrosion cells in pipe threads in condensate. In this case, there is approximately 20% condensate return and 80% make-up, therefore the chemical make-up of the feedwater will control the maximum number of cycles, namely the total raw alkalinity. Knowing the alkalinity of the raw water is 88 mg/L, without dealkalization, the estimated maximum number of cycles that could be achieved, staying within the limits of ASME guidelines of 700 mg/L total alkalinity, would be approximately 9–10 cycles of concentration.

If the water is consistently dealkalized to less than 10 mg/L, then based strictly on the total alkalinity parameter, the maximum cycles that could be achieved would be the ASME maximum recommended limit of 50 cycles. ASME guidelines also recommend that the total alkalinity should supersede conductance as the blowdown control parameter. The main list of parameters
would have to be reviewed are listed above for 0–300 psig drum pressure for silica, total alkalinity or conductivity.

Using Table 4, we can develop an understanding of the energy savings by “cycling up” the boiler. Assuming 10% blowdown currently, and we very conservatively reduce the blowdown rate to 9%, this will save over $12,000/year in wasted heat. If we only reduce the blowdown rate to 7%, this will save over $35,000 in wasted heat.

### Conclusion

Adding a dealkalizer to this boiler water treatment system will easily save over $91,000 annually and probably significantly more. Depending upon the complexity of installing the equipment, the ROI will be approximately 8–11 months. Clearly, this analysis is highly dependent upon the specific equipment at this plant.

---

**Table 4: Cycled Up Savings**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Boiler Rate</th>
<th>10%</th>
<th>9%</th>
<th>8%</th>
<th>7%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present Blowdown Rate</td>
<td>Steam Saving (lbs/day)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15%</td>
<td>172,549</td>
<td>204,783</td>
<td>236,317</td>
<td>267,173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14%</td>
<td>136,434</td>
<td>168,669</td>
<td>200,202</td>
<td>231,058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13%</td>
<td>101,149</td>
<td>133,384</td>
<td>164,918</td>
<td>195,773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12%</td>
<td>66,667</td>
<td>98,901</td>
<td>130,435</td>
<td>161,290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11%</td>
<td>32,959</td>
<td>65,193</td>
<td>96,727</td>
<td>127,582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td><strong>32,234</strong></td>
<td>63,768</td>
<td>94,624</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Boiler Rate</th>
<th>10%</th>
<th>9%</th>
<th>8%</th>
<th>7%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present Blowdown Rate</td>
<td>Heat Savings (BTU/day)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15%</td>
<td>61,600,000</td>
<td>73,107,700</td>
<td>84,365,200</td>
<td>95,380,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14%</td>
<td>48,707,000</td>
<td>60,214,700</td>
<td>71,472,200</td>
<td>82,487,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13%</td>
<td>36,110,300</td>
<td>47,618,000</td>
<td>58,875,600</td>
<td>69,891,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12%</td>
<td>23,800,000</td>
<td>35,307,700</td>
<td>46,565,200</td>
<td>57,580,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11,766,300</td>
<td>23,274,000</td>
<td>34,531,500</td>
<td>45,546,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td><strong>11,507,700</strong></td>
<td>22,765,200</td>
<td>33,780,600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Boiler Rate</th>
<th>10%</th>
<th>9%</th>
<th>8%</th>
<th>7%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present Blowdown Rate</td>
<td>Fuel Saving ($/year)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15%</td>
<td>$64,882</td>
<td>$77,003</td>
<td>$88,861</td>
<td>$100,463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14%</td>
<td>$51,302</td>
<td>$63,423</td>
<td>$75,281</td>
<td>$86,883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13%</td>
<td>$38,034</td>
<td>$50,155</td>
<td>$62,013</td>
<td>$73,615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12%</td>
<td>$25,068</td>
<td>$37,189</td>
<td>$49,048</td>
<td>$60,649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11%</td>
<td>$12,393</td>
<td>$24,514</td>
<td>$36,372</td>
<td>$47,974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td><strong>$12,121</strong></td>
<td>$23,978</td>
<td>$35,581</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Kevin Preising is a Sales Engineer with Res-Kem Corporation in Media, PA. Mr. Preising can be contacted by phone at (610) 358-0717 or by email at sales@reskem.com.*
The purpose of this quiz is to ensure the CWT (Certified Water Technologist) has read and understands the technical paper or article. The quiz answers are based strictly on the content and perspective of this article. The AWT and Certification Committee make no representation to the factual content of the article. Each article has been reviewed and the Certification Committee has made every attempt to avoid articles with misleading statements. Any questions concerning the scoring of any quiz will be referred back to the article for clarification.
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1. For low pressure boilers (less than 300 psig) the maximum cycles of concentration should be:
   a. 25 cycles
   b. 50 cycles
   c. 75 cycles
   d. 100 cycles

2. A boiler feed water sample has 123 mg/L of total alkalinity. The amount of CO₂ expected in the feed water would be:
   a. 56.8 mg/L
   b. 63.1 mg/L
   c. 51.4 mg/L
   d. 54.1 mg/L

3. Dealkalizers are found:
   a. after the deaerator.
   b. ahead of the zeolite softener.
   c. after the zeolite softener.
   d. ahead of the condensate storage tank.

4. The use of dealkalizers can:
   a. reduce blowdown and save fuel.
   b. reduce oxygen scavenger use.
   c. increase the distribution ratio of the neutralizing amine.
   d. increase the corrosion rate of stainless steel.
5. ASME guidelines for iron in boiler feed water are:
   a. 0.05 mg/L
   b. 0.10 mg/L
   c. 0.15 mg/L
   d. 0.20 mg/L

6. The use of a dealkalizer will reduce:
   a. the amount of filming amine used.
   b. the amount of scale inhibitor used.
   c. the amount of oxygen scavenger used.
   d. the amount of neutralizing amine used.

7. For low pressure boilers the ASME guidelines for alkalinity are:
   a. 700 mg/L as CaCO$_3$ of hydroxide alkalinity.
   b. 700 mg/L as CaCO$_3$ of p-alkalinity.
   c. 700 mg/L as CaCO$_3$ of bicarbonate alkalinity.
   d. 700 mg/L as CaCO$_3$ of m-alkalinity.

8. Dealkalization is the process of:
   a. raising water temperature to remove carbon dioxide gas.
   b. the removal of natural alkalinity in make up water.
   c. the removal of scale forming minerals that lower heat transfer efficiency.
   d. silica removal.

9. In boiler operations:
   a. water treatment costs are the largest expense.
   b. fuel costs are the largest expense.
   c. make up water costs are the largest expense.
   d. return of condensate is the largest expense.

10. In most low pressure steam plants, condensate return:
    a. is the biggest contributor to iron and copper into the boiler.
    b. is the biggest contributor to silica and hydroxide into the boiler.
    c. is the biggest contributor to calcium and magnesium into the boiler.
    d. is the biggest contributor of oxygen and hydrogen gas into the boiler.